




THE CONDUCT FILE

OFFICER CONDUCT. PUBLIC RECORD.



AGENCY REPORT CARD • DRAFT



AURORA POLICE DEPARTMENT

MISSOURI | REVIEW: JAN. 1, 2025 - OCT. 13, 2026

AVAILABLE-RECORD GRADE

66.7/100

Assigned by TCF
Workplace / public-info checks
10 of 15 assessed points

EVIDENCE COVERAGE

15%

3 of 20 checks assessed
17 checks remain NR

RUBRIC COVERAGE

PUBLIC SENTIMENT

NR

0 reviewed · 0 eligible
Archived sample; not recollected

CURRENT INDEX NOT RATED

PROVISIONAL - LIMITED RECORD - CONDUCT NOT ASSESSED

Sentiment is a comment index, not a resident trust percentage.

STAFFING & ANNUAL BUDGET

Full-time sworn: 22; city page, undated [S01]
Reserve officers: 3; roster unverified [S01]
Annual operating budget: FY2025 \$1,889,767 [S04,S05]

FBI UCR • REPORTED OFFENSES

PERIOD	VIOLENT	PROPERTY
2025 (year)	NR	NR
2026 (partial)	NR	NR

Official totals and reporting months unverified. NR means unknown, not zero. [S19,S20]

CATEGORY / EVIDENCE STATUS

CATEGORY	ASSESSED POINTS	CHECKS
Conduct, force & custody	NR	0 of 7
Accountability	3.75 / 5 [S03]	1 of 5
Transparency	2.5 / 5 [S01,S04]	1 of 4
Training & supervision	NR	0 of 2
Service & communication	3.75 / 5 [S01,S06]	1 of 2

NR = Not Rated. Missing evidence is not an automatic failure.

THE RECORD SO FAR

1. WORKPLACE INTAKE • OCT. 13, 2026 [S03]

Workplace procedure supplies three intake components; no result-notification target. Actual handling remains unverified.

3. FILED ALLEGATIONS • JUNE 19, 2026 [S07]

Filed petition alleges harassment and retaliation. No merits outcome was verified; allegations earn no conduct points.

2. DISCLOSURE • OCT. 13, 2026 [S01,S04,S05,S11,S18]

FY2025 detail and personnel categories are published; current appropriation and reconciled filled roster remain unverified.

4. PUBLIC CONTACT • OCT. 13, 2026 [S01,S06,S13,S15,S17]

Tip line, office, 911 and a records route are published; assistance guidance and actual response quality remain unverified.

AGENCY RESPONSE

No TCF response request; public statements are cited in the notes.

Scope & scoring

Aurora Police Department, Missouri

Agency: Aurora Police Department. Review: 2025-01-01 to 2026-10-13. Generated: 2026-10-13.

Official site: <https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/1177/Aurora-Police-Department>. ORI: MO0550100 (historical official identifier; current reporting status unverified).

TCF score: 66.7/100. Evidence coverage: 15% (3/20 standards). Public sentiment: NR.

TCF Available-Record Grade 1.0 | D - 66.7/100

PROVISIONAL - LIMITED RECORD

PUBLIC INFORMATION / PROCESS ONLY. CONDUCT NOT ASSESSED.

The grade describes only the evidence actually assessed against the fixed checks. It does not estimate overall police quality, the share of officers acting properly, resident trust, or future misconduct. Coverage measures assessed rubric weight, not the percentage of incidents or personnel reviewed. These editorial choices have not been statistically validated.

There is no minimum overall/category coverage or incident count for this limited grade. Each observation still needs sufficient evidence. With no assessable check the result is NR. Unknown checks earn no credit and no penalty; a zero rating is assessed and stays in the denominator. A department duty inapplicable to one event remains Unknown unless other evidence supports assessment.

The 20 fixed checks each weigh 5 points. Average distinct event observations within each outcome check; use one current snapshot for each publication check. $\text{Earned weight} = \text{sum}(5 \times \text{check rating} / 4)$. $\text{Score} = 100 \times \text{earned weight} / \text{assessed weight}$. $\text{Coverage} = \text{assessed weight} / 100 \times 100$. Do not average category percentages equally or count multiple articles as separate incidents.

Calculation: $100 \times 10 / 15 = 66.7$. 3/20 checks; 15% rubric coverage.

Use the unrounded score for the letter: A ≥ 90 , B ≥ 80 , C ≥ 70 , D ≥ 60 , F < 60 . Display one decimal, adding precision at a boundary so rounding never promotes a grade. With partial evidence the assessed checks determine the effective mix; each assessed check carries 33.33% of this headline result.

Assessed categories: Accountability, Transparency, Service and communication

Unassessed categories: Conduct, force and custody, Training and supervision

Do not rank departments whose grades cover different checks or sampling frames. A direct comparison needs shared checks and comparable periods, with remaining sampling differences disclosed. A change after regrading or added evidence is not proof of changed department behavior. Prior editions remain archived.

Revision history: Authorized archive regrade generated 2026-10-13 under TCF Available-Record Grade 1.0 from the completed October 13, 2026 Available-Record v0.1 pilot. The original 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 evidence window and original source retrieval dates are retained. No new operational investigation or sentiment collection was conducted for migration. Fixed Grade 1.0 component wording was re-evaluated against the retained evidence, with A1=3, T3=2 and P2=3.

Selection and evidence limits

Population: Accessible Aurora, Missouri agency publications and public-source event evidence for January 1, 2025 through October 13, 2026, as retained in the completed October 13 pilot.

Selection: The saved pilot-plan.md was prepared before ratings. It directed standard-lane research using official records plus neutral, favorable/routine and adverse reporting, every sufficiently substantiated relevant check within the bounded public-web search, and deduplication of real events. This migration re-evaluates that retained

record against Grade 1.0; it does not create a new incident sample.

Limits: No complete operational event sample or full department audit was obtained. The only assessable units are three public information/procedure snapshots; conduct and training/supervision remain unassessed. This is not an exhaustive search or a claim of department-wide compliance.

Agency response

TCF did not request an agency response for this pilot. Public agency statements are attributed in the source notes. No inference of refusal or noncooperation is made. No additional contact was made for this migration.

Limitations

- TCF Available-Record Grade 1.0 is an editorial assessment of the cited assessable record, not a validated measure of overall department quality. Unknown checks earn neither credit nor penalty. Coverage measures rubric weight, not the fraction of officers or incidents reviewed.
- Fixed weights and component anchors are editorial choices, not validated measures of overall department quality.
- The evidence mix changes the effective category weights. All assessed checks in this run concern public information or procedures.
- Coverage measures rubric weight, not the share of incidents or officers reviewed.
- This bounded public-web review is not exhaustive. No records request or agency response request was sent.
- The existing Aurora report uses a different method; these scores cannot establish a change in agency performance.
- The workplace complaint policy does not establish citizen misconduct-complaint access or actual implementation.
- Prior joint Aurora-Marionville data cannot be assumed to describe Aurora-only staffing.
- Old method labels and old rounded scores were not carried into the new calculation.

Conduct, force and custody

Fixed weight: 35/100. Assessed: 0/7 checks; 0% category coverage. Earned/assessed: 0/0. Category score: NR.

C1 - Stops and searches - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented stop or search complies with its applicable authority and scope.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No sufficiently complete stop/search record or merits finding obtained. [S19,S20]

C2 - Arrests and detention - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented arrest or detention has the required basis, duration and process.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No sufficiently complete detention record or merits finding obtained. [S07,S08,S21]

C3 - Force justification and proportionality - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented use of force meets the applicable necessity and proportionality benchmark.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Contested pleading allegations do not establish the underlying conduct. [S07,S08,S21]

C4 - Restraint and de-escalation conduct - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented encounter follows applicable restraint, intervention and de-escalation requirements in its actual circumstances.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Pursuit reporting and the pleading lack a complete conduct record and applicable reviewed findings. [S07,S12]

C5 - Custody and medical care - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented custody or medical-care episode meets the applicable safety and care duties.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Agency-reported aid is context; a complete incident record was not obtained. [S12]

C6 - Equal treatment - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented service or enforcement decision meets the applicable nondiscrimination/equal-treatment benchmark.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Harassment allegations are unresolved in the reviewed record; a published policy does not verify practice. [S03,S07,S08]

C7 - Report and evidence integrity - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented reporting/evidence episode meets accuracy, preservation and disclosure duties.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No adequately documented integrity event or finding obtained. [S07,S08,S21]

Unknown means insufficient assessable evidence, not failure or a clean record. Publication findings do not establish operational implementation. The complete fixed anchors are published in the site methodology.

Accountability

Fixed weight: 25/100. Assessed: 1/5 checks; 20% category coverage. Earned/assessed: 3.75/5. Category score: 75.0.

A1 - Complaint intake access - 3/4; 3.75/5 weight points

Fixed benchmark: Published complaint intake is usable for its explicitly identified audience.

Scope / rationale: Workplace harassment procedure only; one publication snapshot. | Three of four publication components met in the identified workplace procedure. No credit for implementation or general citizen-complaint access. [S03]

Distinct observations: 1

aurora-publication-a1-2026-10-13 | 2026-10-13 | 3/4 [S03]

TCF editorial expectation: Published complaint intake is usable for its explicitly identified audience.

Assessment: Three of four publication components met in the identified workplace procedure. No credit for implementation or general citizen-complaint access.

Locator: S03, personnel handbook chapter 9, PDF pages 64-67; archived source PDF page 3 component worksheet.

Publication component	Met	Reviewed evidence
Express route for identified audience	Yes	Chapter 9 expressly addresses workplace harassment reports.
Recipient and alternate around accused supervisor	Yes	Recipients and an alternative to the accused supervisor are specified.
No-identification or third-party handling	Yes	The city directs action even when the complainant declines identification.
Review stages and result-notification target	No	The reviewed chapter describes investigation but gives no result-notification target.

A2 - Investigation quality - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A completed documented investigation addresses the material evidence, competing accounts and relevant issues.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | A promise to investigate and reported litigation do not establish the quality of an actual investigation. [S03,S07,S08]

A3 - Supported findings and explanations - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A completed finding gives a reasoned conclusion supported by the reviewed evidence.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No sufficiently complete final investigation or merits ruling obtained. [S07,S08,S21]

A4 - Corrective action and follow-through - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: Documented corrective action appropriate to an established issue is completed and followed up.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Plans and discussion of a county dispatch outage do not verify completed correction. [S10,S16]

A5 - Cooperation with external oversight - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented oversight episode shows compliance with the applicable oversight requests and requirements.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No sufficiently documented applicable oversight episode and response obtained. [S14,S21]

Unknown means insufficient assessable evidence, not failure or a clean record. Publication findings do not establish operational implementation. The complete fixed anchors are published in the site methodology.

Transparency

Fixed weight: 20/100. Assessed: 1/4 checks; 25% category coverage. Earned/assessed: 2.5/5. Category score: 50.0.

T1 - Policy publication - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A bounded reviewed public operational-policy set meets the publication components.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | The city employment handbook is not a sufficiently reviewed police operational-policy set. Incomplete discovery is not scored as nonpublication. [S03]

T2 - Complaint and force outcome disclosure - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A bounded reviewed public outcome-reporting set meets the disclosure components.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No bounded, readable annual complaint/force outcome publication set obtained. Search gaps alone cannot justify a zero.

T3 - Budget and staffing disclosure - 2/4; 2.5/5 weight points

Fixed benchmark: A bounded reviewed budget/personnel publication set meets the disclosure components.

Scope / rationale: Published city budget index, latest completed budget and personnel description at cutoff; not spending efficiency. | Two of four components met in the reviewed city publication set. The current-year appropriation and dated/reconciled positions are not supplied by that set. [S01,S04,S05,S11,S18]

Distinct observations: 1

aurora-publication-t3-2026-10-13 | 2026-10-13 | 2/4 [S01,S04,S05,S11,S18]

TCF editorial expectation: A bounded reviewed budget/personnel publication set meets the disclosure components.

Assessment: Two of four components met in the reviewed city publication set. The current-year appropriation and dated/reconciled positions are not supplied by that set.

Locator: S04 budget index; S05 ordinance page 1 and police schedules pages 15-16; S01 personnel paragraph; S11 transition reporting; S18 workforce mirror; archived source PDF pages 3 and 5.

Publication component	Met	Reviewed evidence
Current fiscal-year police appropriation	No	The reviewed index provides FY2025 and earlier budgets, not a readable FY2026 police appropriation.
Separated operating/capital detail, current or latest completed year	Yes	FY2025 police schedule separates salary/benefits \$1,684,403, other operating \$205,364 and capital/debt \$67,000; latest completed-year detail.
Sworn/civilian/reserve distinctions	Yes	S01 separately identifies 22 full-time commissioned officers, 3 reserves, 2 animal-control staff, 2 public-service staff and 1 administrative assistant; undated publication, not a filled-position audit.
Dated filled/authorized positions and reconciled service scope	No	The undated city staffing description does not reconcile filled versus authorized positions and the joint-service transition.

T4 - Handling documented records requests - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: An actual documented request is handled accurately under the applicable scope, deadlines and disclosure/exemption duties.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | A published records route is available, but no actual request/response episode was assessed. [S06]

Unknown means insufficient assessable evidence, not failure or a clean record. Publication findings do not establish operational implementation. The complete fixed anchors are published in the site methodology.

Training and supervision

Fixed weight: 10/100. Assessed: 0/2 checks; 0% category coverage. Earned/assessed: 0/0. Category score: NR.

S1 - Required-training completion - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: Dated completion records establish that the identified required training was completed for the reviewed personnel and period.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | A job-entry certification requirement does not verify completion by serving personnel. [S13,S14]

S2 - Supervisory review and correction - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: Documented supervisory review identifies relevant issues and completes required corrective follow-through.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | No complete supervision audit or supported corrective-outcome record obtained. [S07,S08,S10]

Unknown means insufficient assessable evidence, not failure or a clean record. Publication findings do not establish operational implementation. The complete fixed anchors are published in the site methodology.

Service and communication

Fixed weight: 10/100. Assessed: 1/2 checks; 50% category coverage. Earned/assessed: 3.75/5. Category score: 75.0.

P1 - Assistance and victim/crisis response - Unknown

Fixed benchmark: A documented assistance/victim/crisis episode meets the applicable response and follow-through benchmark.

Scope / rationale: Retained Aurora 2025-01-01 through 2026-10-13 record; no sufficiently complete relevant observation. | Reported aid and mutual assistance lack enough outcome context for the declared conduct benchmark. [S11,S12]

P2 - Public access and communication - 3/4; 3.75/5 weight points

Fixed benchmark: A bounded reviewed police-service publication set supplies usable contact and routing information.

Scope / rationale: Published contact information only. Tip line is not represented as a tested dispatch line. | Three of four components met in the reviewed agency/city/service-provider information set. Actual response speed and accommodation performance were not tested. [S01,S06,S13,S15,S17]

Distinct observations: 1

aurora-publication-p2-2026-10-13 | 2026-10-13 | 3/4 [S01,S06,S13,S15,S17]

TCF editorial expectation: A bounded reviewed police-service publication set supplies usable contact and routing information.

Assessment: Three of four components met in the reviewed agency/city/service-provider information set. Actual response speed and accommodation performance were not tested.

Locator: S01 police tip-line publication; S13 Aurora vacancy office address; S15 dispatched-agency list and emergency routing; S06 records-custodian route; S17 website-accessibility page; archived source PDF page 3.

Publication component	Met	Reviewed evidence
Published police contact phone and physical/mail location, with route purpose identified	Yes	S01 expressly labels a police tip-line route; S13 identifies the Aurora police office at 106 S. Elliott Avenue. The route purpose is retained as tips, not represented as tested dispatch.
Emergency/after-hours routing	Yes	The official county dispatch provider identifies Aurora service and directs emergencies to 911.
Published written police or public-record route	Yes	S06 publishes auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov as the city records-custodian written route. No actual response was tested.
Police-service assistance or escalation/response timetable	No	The reviewed set does not specify this; general website accessibility guidance does not satisfy the police-service component.

Unknown means insufficient assessable evidence, not failure or a clean record. Publication findings do not establish operational implementation. The complete fixed anchors are published in the site methodology.

Staffing, budget & crime context

Full-time sworn

22 full-time commissioned officers on the undated city page; current filled roster not verified. [S01]

City source and secondary 2025 workforce mirror differ. S18 lists 17 sworn and 5 civilian; original return, date basis and Aurora-Marionville transition scope remain unreconciled.

Reserve officers

3 reserves on the undated city page; current active roster not verified. [S01]

Annual operating budget

FY2025 budgeted police operating subtotal \$1,889,767; FY2026 appropriation unverified. [S04,S05]

TCF arithmetic adds \$1,684,403 salary/benefits and \$205,364 other operating expense. Capital/debt \$67,000 gives police total \$1,956,767. The separate \$47,000 vehicle request is not added again. These are budgeted figures, not actual spending.

FBI UCR

NR — official 2025 and 2026 violent/property totals and reporting-month completeness were not obtained. [S19,S20]

Staffing and service scope

City page also lists 2 animal-control staff, 2 public-service staff and 1 administrative assistant; no dated reconciliation to the joint-service transition. [S01,S11,S18]

Keep authorized and filled positions separate. Distinguish sworn and civilian personnel and dispatch scope. Identify adopted budgets versus actual spending and capital. Missing crime months are not zero. Partial-year counts are not compared directly with complete years. Crime is reported community activity, not a misconduct measure.

Findings & outcomes

1. Workplace complaint publication

TCF EVIDENCE ASSESSMENT | 2026-10-13 | [S03]

The identified workplace harassment procedure supplies three of the four fixed complaint-publication components. It does not state a result-notification target. This is neither an assessment of actual complaint handling nor a general citizen misconduct-complaint route.

Scope: Workplace audience only; one bounded publication snapshot.

2. Budget and staffing publication

TCF EVIDENCE ASSESSMENT | 2026-10-13 | [S01,S04,S05,S11,S18]

The reviewed set supplies FY2025 operating/capital detail and distinct personnel categories, but no readable FY2026 police appropriation or dated filled/authorized roster reconciling service scope.

Scope: City budget/personnel publication set only.

3. Bliss harassment and retaliation petition

ALLEGATION | 2026-06-19 | [S07]

The downloaded court-stamped petition in 26LW-CC00086 names Aurora, Marionville and Wes Coatney and alleges harassment and retaliation involving 2025 events. Filing establishes the allegations made; no merits ruling, admission or current disposition was verified.

Scope: Pleading, not a supported misconduct finding.

4. Published police contact routes

TCF EVIDENCE ASSESSMENT | 2026-10-13 | [S01,S06,S13,S15,S17]

The bounded set supplies tip-line/office information, emergency 911 routing and a written records route. It does not supply police-service assistance or an escalation/response timetable. Actual response quality was not tested.

Scope: Published contact information only.

5. Separate Maples retaliation claim

ALLEGATION | 2026-06-25 | [S08]

Lawrence County Record reporting describes a separate Maples retaliation claim. The primary petition and current disposition were not obtained. Repeated reporting was not counted as separate events.

Scope: Attributed report; unresolved allegation.

6. Announced body-camera launch

AGENCY STATEMENT | 2026-02-10 | [S10]

The city manager report announced a March 1 body-camera launch. Completed implementation, footage availability and compliance were not verified.

Scope: Planned agency change; no implementation points.

7. County-operated 911 outage concern

OTHER VERIFIED CONTEXT | 2026-01-21 | [S16]

County board minutes record Aurora officials raising a temporary early-January 911 outage and discussion of review. Completed corrective action was not verified.

Scope: County dispatch system; no unsupported attribution of operational fault to Aurora.

8. Fatal pursuit and reported aid

OTHER VERIFIED CONTEXT | 2025-10-18 | [S12]

KY3 reported a fatal pursuit crash and the police account of extraction and CPR. Fuller records were unavailable. Neither the death nor an agency account establishes compliance or misconduct.

Scope: Incomplete pursuit/care evidence; no outcome points.

Public sentiment & audit

Current index: NR. No new comment collection was performed for this migration. The new calculation contains no reconstructed comment rows; it does not erase the earlier review counts below.

Earlier edition sample (not recollected or rescored): 0 reviewed; 0 eligible. Original cutoff 2026-10-13. Historical index: NR.

Completed October 13 Available-Record pilot retained zero original comments; no historical index was calculated.

Collection rule: Saved pilot collection rule: neutral, favorable/routine and adverse discovery; agency and independent publishers; two varied searches per required platform. For accessible original discussions, collect dated in-window comments chronologically when possible up to 100 per discussion, retain latest substantive evaluation per account-platform. None could be captured.

Coverage: All seven required platforms were attempted in the October 13 pilot. Zero original dated comment records were captured, zero eligible entries, zero qualifying discussions and publishers. No comments were collected for this migration.

NR reason: Fewer than 30 eligible account-platform entries

NR reason: Fewer than three distinct post discussions

NR reason: Fewer than two independent publishing sources

NR reason: Collection reliability review incomplete

NR reason: Original comments were inaccessible or unverified; no qualifying reproducible sample.

Minimum: 30 eligible account-platform units, three distinct post discussions, two independent publishing sources, and completed reliability review. Formula: $1 + 99 \times (\text{positive} + 0.5 \times \text{mixed}) / N$, rounded half up. The minimum is an editorial coverage rule, not a statistical guarantee. This convenience sample is not a resident-trust percentage. Only the latest eligible substantive evaluation per account-platform counts; mixed requires both favorable and unfavorable evaluation in that retained comment. Account identity is not inferred across platforms. Views, likes and shares do not count.

Access & research log

Lane / status	Result / next action
Identity / complete	Identity and authentic emblem established; historical identifier used only for entity matching. Next: Obtain current agency reporting/roster record. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Official city agency page; historical MSHP ORI; profile emblem
Policies / partial	Workplace harassment policy read; sufficient operational-policy publication set not obtained. Next: Obtain dated operational policies and publication inventory. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Personnel handbook chapter 9; official publication discovery
Internal affairs / partial	Published workplace route read; no closed investigative case or bounded complaint/force outcomes obtained. Next: Obtain redacted case/disposition records and annual outcomes. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Complaint procedure; annual outcome discovery
Oversight / partial	POST sources read; no agency-matched oversight outcome or active roster established. Next: Obtain agency-matched licensing and oversight decisions. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Missouri POST employment and revoked/surrendered licensing records
Courts / partial	Bliss pleading downloaded; Case.net and Maples lead inaccessible; current dispositions unverified. Next: Obtain full operative decisions and current dockets. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Bliss petition; Maples petition lead; Case.net
Staffing / partial	Personnel categories read; dates, filled status and transition scope unreconciled. Next: Obtain dated filled/authorized roster and jurisdiction scope. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: City staff description; Police Census; joint-service transition
Budget / partial	FY2025 detail read; readable FY2026 police appropriation not supplied by reviewed index. Next: Obtain current adopted/amended police budget. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: City budget index and adopted FY2025 police schedules
FBI UCR / partial	Portal shells available; no usable Aurora agency-year offense export obtained. Next: Obtain exact agency-year exports and submitted months. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: FBI Crime Data Explorer; Missouri Show-Me Crime
Media / partial	Attributed independent reporting retained; underlying complete event evidence absent. Next: Follow material primary records and decisions. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: Aurora/Marionville litigation, service transition, pursuit, routine and favorable discovery
Facebook / blocked	Agency and independent discussions found; original comments blocked/throttled. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:facebook.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police community 2025 2026; site:facebook.com "Aurora-Marionville" police lawsuit Coatney
Instagram / no_indexed_result	Two varied searches; no jurisdiction-verified original discussion available for coding. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:instagram.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police; site:instagram.com "Aurora-Marionville" police complaint community
TikTok / blocked	Robots/access limitation; unrelated indexed commerce results excluded. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:tiktok.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police; site:tiktok.com "Aurora-Marionville" police Coatney

THE CONDUCT FILE / DRAFT SOURCE NOTES

Lane / status	Result / next action
Threads / no_indexed_result	Two varied searches across .net/.com; no verified relevant original discussion obtained. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:threads.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police; site:threads.net "Aurora-Marionville" police
X/Twitter / no_indexed_result	Two varied searches; no verified relevant original discussion obtained. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:x.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police community; site:twitter.com "Aurora-Marionville" police lawsuit
YouTube / blocked	Relevant historical news lead found; original comments not retrievable. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:youtube.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police community 2025 2026; site:youtube.com "Aurora-Marionville" police Coatney lawsuit
Rumble / no_indexed_result	Two varied searches; unrelated national material excluded. Zero eligible original comments captured. Next: Review original public discussion with full dates and provenance if accessible. Checked: 2026-10-13 Query: site:rumble.com "Aurora" "Missouri" police; site:rumble.com "Aurora-Marionville" police Coatney

Priority records to obtain

- Current adopted/amended police budget and dated filled/authorized roster with service scope.
- Operational policies and annual complaint/force outcomes.
- Closed investigation files, final court outcomes and appeal status.
- Roster-linked completed training and supervisory follow-through.
- Actual records requests and responses with timing and disposition.
- A citizen misconduct-complaint route distinct from the workplace harassment procedure.
- Complete original public discussions with comment dates, account provenance and reliable balanced collection.

Linked source register

[S01] City of Aurora: Police Department

City of Aurora | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official page; undated; read at cutoff.

Scope: Agency identity, published personnel categories and tip line. The displayed roster is not a dated audit of filled positions.

Supports: Agency identity, published personnel categories and tip line. The displayed roster is not a dated audit of filled positions.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/1177/Aurora-Police-Department>

[S02] Aurora Missouri Police Department: Facebook profile

Aurora Police Department / Facebook | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | partial

Locator: Official profile metadata and profile image; public comment access blocked.

Scope: Authentic agency profile emblem used intact. No Facebook reaction or comment is counted as evidence of conduct or sentiment.

Supports: Authentic agency profile emblem used intact. No Facebook reaction or comment is counted as evidence of conduct or sentiment.

<https://www.facebook.com/auroramariionvillepd/>

[S03] City of Aurora Personnel Handbook, revised 2026

City of Aurora | Document: 2026 revision | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Downloaded PDF; chapter 9, PDF pages 64-67.

Scope: Workplace harassment procedure, alternate recipients and handling when a complainant declines identification. No result-notification target is stated in this reviewed chapter.

Supports: Workplace harassment procedure, alternate recipients and handling when a complainant declines identification. No result-notification target is stated in this reviewed chapter.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/DocumentCenter/View/2341/Aurora-Personnel-Manual---Revised-2026>

[S04] City of Aurora: Annual Budgets & Audits

City of Aurora | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official publication index read at cutoff.

Scope: The reviewed index lists FY2025 and earlier budgets and identifies FY2025 as its budget period. This does not establish that a FY2026 budget was never adopted.

Supports: The reviewed index lists FY2025 and earlier budgets and identifies FY2025 as its budget period. This does not establish that a FY2026 budget was never adopted.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/1274/Annual-Budgets-Audits>

[S05] City of Aurora FY2025 Budget

City of Aurora | Document: 2024-12-19 (FY2025 adoption) | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Downloaded scanned PDF; ordinance page 1 and police schedule pages 15-16 visually reviewed.

Scope: Ordinance 2024-3334 dated December 19, 2024 adopts the FY2025 budget. Police salary/benefits \$1,684,403, operating expense \$205,364, capital/debt \$67,000; total \$1,956,767. Not actual expenditure or a FY2026 appropriation.

Supports: Ordinance 2024-3334 dated December 19, 2024 adopts the FY2025 budget. Police salary/benefits \$1,684,403, operating expense \$205,364, capital/debt \$67,000; total \$1,956,767. Not actual expenditure or a FY2026 appropriation.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/DocumentCenter/View/2295/City-of-Aurora-FY-2025-Budget>

[S06] City of Aurora: Missouri Sunshine Law

City of Aurora | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official records-custodian page read at cutoff.

Scope: Published city records route: auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov. Its existence does not establish timely or complete handling of any request.

Supports: Published city records route: auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov. Its existence does not establish timely or complete handling of any request.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/1278/Missouri-Sunshine-Law>

[S07] Bliss v. Marionville, Aurora and Coatney: filed petition

Lawrence County Circuit Court; pleading copy hosted by Missouri Free Press | Document: 2026-06-19 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Court-stamped pleading copy downloaded; June 19, 2026; 26LW-CC00086.

Scope: Filing and allegations only. The plaintiff alleges harassment and retaliation concerning 2025 events. No merits ruling, admission or current case disposition was verified.

Supports: Filing and allegations only. The plaintiff alleges harassment and retaliation concerning 2025 events. No merits ruling, admission or current case disposition was verified.

<https://mofreepress.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/Petition.pdf>

[S08] Lawrence County Record: Aurora chief of police Coatney sued for second time this year

Lawrence County Record / Ethan Gray | Document: 2026-06-25 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Ethan Gray; June 25, 2026; article read.

Scope: Reports Bliss allegations and a separate Maples retaliation claim. Maples primary pleading and later outcomes were not obtained. An inconsistent incident description in the article is not adopted.

Supports: Reports Bliss allegations and a separate Maples retaliation claim. Maples primary pleading and later outcomes were not obtained. An inconsistent incident description in the article is not adopted.

<https://lawrencecountyrecord.com/content/aurora-chief-police-coatney-sued-second-year>

[S09] Missouri State Highway Patrol: Agency Status 2012

Missouri State Highway Patrol | Document: 2012 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Historical official PDF; Aurora entry on PDF page 2.

Scope: Historical ORI MO0550100. Used for entity matching only, not current reporting completeness or current crime totals.

Supports: Historical ORI MO0550100. Used for entity matching only, not current reporting completeness or current crime totals.

https://www.mshp.dps.missouri.gov/MSHPWeb/Publications/Reports/Agency_Status_2012.pdf

[S10] Aurora City Council minutes and manager report, February 10, 2026

City of Aurora | Document: 2026-02-10 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official nine-page PDF; manager report on page 7.

Scope: Announced a March 1 body-camera launch. Announcement is not proof of completed deployment, footage availability or compliance.

Supports: Announced a March 1 body-camera launch. Announcement is not proof of completed deployment, footage availability or compliance.

https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Minutes/_02102026-472

[S11] KY3: Marionville reestablishes its police department

KY3 / Frances Watson | Document: 2025-12-23 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Frances Watson; published December 23, 2025; article read.

Scope: Documents transition from the joint service arrangement and reported continuing mutual aid. Prior combined records cannot be assumed to describe current Aurora-only staffing.

Supports: Documents transition from the joint service arrangement and reported continuing mutual aid. Prior combined records cannot be assumed to describe current Aurora-only staffing.

<https://www.ky3.com/2025/12/24/marionville-reestablishes-its-police-department/>

[S12] KY3: Aurora man dies in car crash during police chase

KY3 | Document: 2025-10-19 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Published October 19, 2025; article read.

Scope: Reports an October 18 fatal pursuit crash and the police account of extraction and CPR. Neither a death nor the agency account establishes compliance or misconduct without fuller evidence.

Supports: Reports an October 18 fatal pursuit crash and the police account of extraction and CPR. Neither a death nor the agency account establishes compliance or misconduct without fuller evidence.

<https://www.ky3.com/2025/10/20/aurora-man-dies-car-crash-during-police-chase/>

[S13] Missouri POST: law-enforcement employment opportunities

Missouri Department of Public Safety / POST | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official Aurora vacancy entry read at cutoff.

Scope: Lists police office at 106 S. Elliott Avenue and an applicant POST-certification requirement. An entry qualification is not an audit of completed annual training.

Supports: Lists police office at 106 S. Elliott Avenue and an applicant POST-certification requirement. An entry qualification is not an audit of completed annual training.

<https://dps.mo.gov/dir/programs/post/le-jobs.php>

[S14] Missouri POST: revoked/surrendered peace-officer licenses

Missouri Department of Public Safety / POST | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official 31-page PDF retrieved at cutoff.

Scope: Not an active-license registry or verified Aurora personnel roster. No favorable department score is inferred from a name search.

Supports: Not an active-license registry or verified Aurora personnel roster. No favorable department score is inferred from a name search.

<https://dps.mo.gov/dir/programs/post/documents/revoked-surrendered-peace-officer-report.pdf>

[S15] Lawrence County Emergency Services 911

Lawrence County Emergency Services 911 | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official service page read at cutoff.

Scope: Expressly includes Aurora Police among dispatched agencies and supplies emergency 911 routing. Publication is scored; actual dispatch reliability is not.

Supports: Expressly includes Aurora Police among dispatched agencies and supplies emergency 911 routing. Publication is scored; actual dispatch reliability is not.

<https://lawrencecountymo911.gov/>

[S16] Lawrence County Emergency Services Board minutes, January 21, 2026

Lawrence County Emergency Services Board | Document: 2026-01-21 | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official meeting minutes read.

Scope: Records Aurora officials raising a temporary early-January 911 outage and the board discussing review. Completed corrective action was not verified; the system is county-operated.

Supports: Records Aurora officials raising a temporary early-January 911 outage and the board discussing review. Completed corrective action was not verified; the system is county-operated.

<https://www.lawrencecountymo911.gov/posts/2026--lcesb-911-meeting-minutes-01-21-2026>

[S17] City of Aurora: Accessibility

City of Aurora | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Official website accessibility page read at cutoff.

Scope: General website-format assistance. This reviewed page does not establish police-service language or disability assistance or a service-response timetable.

Supports: General website-format assistance. This reviewed page does not establish police-service language or disability assistance or a service-response timetable.

<https://www.aurora-cityhall.org/accessibility>

[S18] Police Census: Aurora Police Department

Police Census | Document: 2025 return; page undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | reviewed

Locator: Secondary FBI-data mirror; 2025 entry; original workforce return not obtained.

Scope: Displays 17 sworn and 5 civilian personnel. This differs from the undated city description; periods, filled positions and service scope remain unreconciled.

Supports: Displays 17 sworn and 5 civilian personnel. This differs from the undated city description; periods, filled positions and service scope remain unreconciled.

<https://www.policecensus.com/agencies/mo/aurora-police-department>

[S19] FBI Crime Data Explorer

Federal Bureau of Investigation | Document: dynamic portal | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | partial

Locator: Application shell loaded; usable agency/year offense export not obtained.

Scope: No official 2025 or 2026 Aurora violent/property totals or reporting-month completeness established in this review.

Supports: No official 2025 or 2026 Aurora violent/property totals or reporting-month completeness established in this review.

<https://cde.ucr.cjis.gov/LATEST/webapp/#/pages/explorer/crime/crime-trend>

[S20] Missouri Show-Me Crime

Missouri State Highway Patrol | Document: dynamic portal | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | partial

Locator: JavaScript application; usable agency/year export not obtained.

Scope: Access limitation, not evidence of zero crime or nonreporting.

Supports: Access limitation, not evidence of zero crime or nonreporting.

<https://showmecrime.mo.gov/public/>

[S21] Missouri Case.net and Maples petition lead

Missouri courts | Document: dynamic portal | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | blocked

Locator: Case.net returned access error; linked petition lead also inaccessible.

Scope: Current litigation status was not verified. Additional lead:

<https://www.courts.mo.gov/fv/c/Petition.PDF?courtCode=39&di=4795481>

Supports: Current litigation status was not verified. Additional lead:

<https://www.courts.mo.gov/fv/c/Petition.PDF?courtCode=39&di=4795481>

<https://www.courts.mo.gov/cnet/welcome.do>

[SOC01] Agency favorable/routine discussion

Facebook | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | blocked

Locator: Original discussion open attempt

Scope: Social discovery lead only

Supports: Fetch throttled; no dated original comments captured.

<https://www.facebook.com/auroramariionvillepd/posts/what-you-dont-see-on-social-medialeaving-mcdonalds-today-after-getting-an-iced-t/1099278572606595/>

[SOC02] Independent lawsuit discussion

Facebook | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | blocked

Locator: Original discussion open attempt

Scope: Social discovery lead only

Supports: Login/temporary blocking; no dated original comments captured.

<https://www.facebook.com/kolr10kozl/posts/a-former-aurora-marionville-police-captain-has-filed-a-new-lawsuit-against-the-c/1450288417141905/>

[SOC03] Agency routine call guidance

Facebook | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | blocked

Locator: Original discussion open attempt

Scope: Social discovery lead only

Supports: Temporary blocking; no dated original comments captured.

<https://www.facebook.com/auroramariionvillepd/posts/quick-tipwhen-you-call-and-request-a-phone-call-from-one-of-our-police-officers-/920510860483368/>

[SOC04] KY3 historical fallen-officer tribute

YouTube | Document: undated | Retrieved: 2026-10-13 | blocked

Locator: Original discussion open attempt

Scope: Social discovery lead only

Supports: Original fetch failed; no in-window dated comments captured.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ha2sXoaQejc>